Pittsburg State University

Pittsburg State University Digital Commons

KNEA 50th Anniversary

2-12-1979

Report From Table

V. C. Goold PSU/KHEA

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.pittstate.edu/knea50

Recommended Citation

Goold, V. C., "Report From Table" (1979). *KNEA 50th Anniversary*. 59. https://digitalcommons.pittstate.edu/knea50/59

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Pittsburg State University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in KNEA 50th Anniversary by an authorized administrator of Pittsburg State University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@pittstate.edu.

PITTSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY CHAPTER KANSAS HIGHER EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

PITTSBURG, KANSAS 66762 February 12,1979 AFFILIATED NEA and KNEA

REPORT FROM TABLE by V C. Goold PSU/KHEA Team Coordinator

The negotiating session of February 6 was one of the longest session to date. The session began at 10:00 a.m. and ended at 4:45 p.m. with one hour off for lunch. All members of both teams were present with an additional member in attendance for the Administrative team. The additional member was Mr. Lowell Long, representing Kansas Department of Administration Labor relations.

The Administrative team responded to our complete proposal for the first time. They did so in a positive manner and by "positive" we mean they listened to what we had to say asked questions, and did not refuse to talk about any of our topics. Their questions and comments were direct with very little runaround. They implied our topics would be considered and that they would have responses at future meetings.

The Administrative Team would not directly answer whether or not their Salary Rationale and Procedures Document of January 22 is a proposal. We have requested they give us a more direct answer at the next session. We have informed the Administrative Team we consider the January 22 Document a response to our Salary Proposal. We are in the process of preparing a counter Salary Proposal to be presented at the next meeting.

The Administrative Team presented a proposal on workload which we consider to be positive, and has several features we consider desireable. We will present a counter proposal at the next meeting incorporating these features.

Last week you received a copy of a letter sent to President Appleberry regarding the negative reaction of the faculty toward the Merit-Salary System. We asked the Administrative Team if this letter had any impact on their thinking. They gave no response other than to ask for our interpretation of the questionaire data. We have requested a response regarding Administrative reaction to the negative attitude of the faculty toward the Merit-Salary System.

In summary we view this session as a positive step toward an agreement and are cautiously optimistic concerning future meetings. We cannot let our hopes get too high as we have been cut off at the pass before. We are still a long way apart: however, we believe if the Administrative Team will continue to present proposals and counter-proposals with intent to reach agreement, we can resolve our differences in due time.

The next scheduled formal session will be February 21.

Note--For your information we are enclosing the Table of Contents of our initial proposal on the back of this page.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Recognition	
Hours of Work	(79-1)
I Workload II Non-Institutional Responsibilities III Outside Consultation IV Sabbatical Leave	
Salary I Salary Generation II Salary Allocation III Individual Salary Determination IV Promotions V Out-of-state Travel VI Summer Employment Wearing Apparel	(79-2) (79-3)
Sick and Injury Leave	(79-4)
Jury Duty	(79-5)
Retirement Benefits	(79-6)
Grievance Procedures	(79-7)
I Purpose II Grievance Process III Grievance Hearing Committee IV Other Provisions V Policies for affecting Grievance Procedures for Academic Freedom, Tenure, Retrenchment, and Contract Renewal	