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The publication of Charles Hartshorne’s (born 1897) autobiographical reflections, *The Darkness and the Light*, is welcome, especially for those who appreciate his importance to contemporary philosophy. His career spans nearly seven decades and includes hundreds of scholarly articles and nineteen books covering the disciplines of psychology, philosophy, theology, and the scientific study of bird song. The prestigious Library of Living Philosophers is soon to devote a volume to his work. Also of note is that the journal *Process Studies*, now in its eighteenth year, is directed in part to the critical study of Hartshorne’s work. Not without reason does the fifteenth edition of the *Encyclopedia Britannica* (1979) call Hartshorne “the leading metaphysician” (article on Idealism).

The main outlines of Hartshorne’s career have been known since 1970 when Eugene Peters’ classic study, *Hartshorne & Neoclassical Metaphysics* (University of Nebraska) appeared. The present volume, which he characterizes as “a celebration of life” (xv), adds details, including portraits of his family, friends, and colleagues. The charming anecdotes sprinkled throughout the book illustrate what he calls his “strange flair for preserving foibles and witticisms” (71) and make for delightful reading. This is nowhere better illustrated than in the [367] chapter titled “Recollections of Famous Philosophers and Other Important Persons” (the only part of the book to have previously appeared in print). Reading this book is reminiscent of listening to Hartshorne free associate on the particulars of his life; those who have never met the man may now make his acquaintance vicariously and better appreciate his reputation for entertaining conversation.

*The Darkness and the Light* contains almost as much philosophy as autobiography. There are discussions of the mind/body problem (393f), human motivation (135, 142), personal identity (314), abortion and infanticide (56f), the nature of dreams (338f), and memory (2f). The interconnection between Hartshorne’s life and thought is well expressed in the book’s title. He takes the phrase “the darkness and the light” from a poem by Wordsworth which conveys the idea that nature is unified by bonds of mutual feeling among the creatures, the elements, and God. This is an unrefined statement of Hartshorne’s metaphysics. Also, he speaks of his fortunate career and those who made it possible. A theme iterated throughout is that life is a
gamble (206) and that success (or failure) is as much due to good (or bad) self-management as to
good (or bad) luck (260). The idea that chance is only a name for our ignorance or that there
must always be a precise reason for what happens is, he says, “only ignorance posing as
knowledge” (35).

Hartshorne happily accepts that the reality of chance implies that the doctrine of
predestination is false. For instance, he recounts an episode in which his death was prevented by
a man named Jabot applying the Heimlich maneuver. Says Hartshorne, “I have no use for the
notion that God cares about me yet not about the ones for whom there is no Jabot in the moment
of need” (139-140). In contrast to Einstein who believed that God does not “play dice,”
Hartshorne believes in a God who takes chances (328). Hartshorne says, “The details of what
happens in the world are unintended, even by God. Of few things am I more confident than this
negative statement” (96). He is puzzled by the fact that only a minority of people seem to be
satisfied with his “rationalized philosophical religion” (279). Perhaps this puzzlement stems from
overestimating the extent to which people think clearly about their religious beliefs.

Those with an interest in identifying the origins of Hartshorne’s philosophical ideas will
be only partly satisfied by this book. His liberal attitude in theology is prefigured in his mother’s
gentle piety (37) and his father’s modernism (187). However, we are left in the dark concerning
the erosion of his belief in an afterlife (149), and very little light is shed on the genesis of ideas
which uniquely characterize his theism (e.g. his version of the ontological argument [368] and
his doctrine of the dipolar nature of God). On the other hand, we learn of a dream in which he
hits upon the thought “To know is to value” (26); and there is a near mystical experience while
crossing the Atlantic in which he struggles toward the concept of a finite God (126); and he
reports his discovery of the phenomenological truth that the world is directly given or felt in
emotional terms (148). Each of these ideas is later refined and woven into the fabric of his
panpsychist (or psychicalist) metaphysics.

Hartshorne amply documents that he is a “man of thought and feeling rather than action”
(125). Readers may, however, get the impression that he too-often reminds them of this fact.
Hartshorne’s sense of his own importance sometimes verges on conceit (202, 249), an aspect of
his personality to which, fortunately, he is not oblivious (308, 363) and which is qualified by a
profound respect for mathematicians, physicists, geneticists, logicians, and musicians (15). A fair
question is to what extent his self-judgment is warranted. His maternal aunt told him when he
was a boy that he was not a genius. He says that he “was not impressed with [her] equipment for
judging the matter at that early stage” (16). Could she have anticipated his career and his
contributions to philosophy, psychology, theology, and ornithology, she might have changed her
mind.